Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,008	ZHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph M. Noto</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04/30&amp;6/17/04</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Ant 6 thehor		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed submitting a terminal disclaimer of U.S. Patent No. 6,596,988 to place the case in condition for allowance. Joseph M. Noto then indicated that he would submit terminal disclaimer. On June 17, 2004, the examiner proposed inserting the incorporated by reference material into the instant specification. Joseph M. Noto then authorized the insertion by examiner's amendment.